INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2 5 MAR 2004

	_	PCT			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Not Prelimin	titication of Transmittal of International hary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
P200200541 WO		, Priority date (day/month/year)			
International application No. PCT/DK 03/00224	International filing date (day/month/year) 07.04.2003	07.04.2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification and IPC	· ·			
G01G19/32					
Applicant BILWINCO A/S et al.		Sugar Su			
Authority and is transmitted to the	e applicant according to , and the	his International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
•					
These annexes consist of a total	l of sneets.	·			
IV Lack of unity of inverse	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to n nations supporting such statement	ive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of com	pletion of this report			
31.10.2003	23.03.200				
Name and mailing address of the internormal preliminary examining authority:	ational Authorized C	Officer State of the state of t			
European Patent Office	Stobbelas	ar, M			
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Telephone	No. +49 89 2399-2827			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DK 03/00224

1		Ra	sis	of	the	rep	ort
	_	00	313	•			

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

!	Desc	cription, Pages			
	1-7		as published		
	Clair	ms, Numbers	THE STATE OF THE S		
•	1-9	•	as published		
	Drav	wings, Sheets	·		
	1/1		as published		
2.	With lang	regard to the langua uage in which the inte	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the mational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
			ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of a trar	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 8).		
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucleo rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
			tly to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequen	itly to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the inthe international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the listing has been furni	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence		
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DK 03/00224

e [_	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they	nave
၁.	u	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	•
		ueeu cousideteu to do devolia file algologato as man (, mis , ,),	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims

No:

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims
Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims
Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-9

Claims
1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The invention relates to a weighing machine with a central distributor, linear 1. conveyors, a vibrator, spring elements and a counterweight as defined in the preamble of claim 1.
 - Main characteristic of the weighing machine according to claim 1 is that the spring elements suspending the conveyor ducts are directly mounted on the frame structure of the weighing machine, whereby the frame structure forms the counterweight for each conveyor duct.
 - Such a construction, which is both simple and easy to be cleaned, is not known nor suggested by the prior art documents cited in the search report. More specific, in these prior art documents the springs suspending the conveyor ducts are not attached to the frame directly, but to an intermediate base, which forms a counterweight. The intermediate base on its turn is attached to the frame of the machine via springs.
 - Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 meets the requirements of articles 33 (2) and 33 (3) PCT.
- Claims 2-9 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of 2. the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Additional remarks

- Claim 1 is not clear (Article 6 PCT), because different wordings, namely "transport 1. duct" and "conveyor duct" seem to be used to denote the same part. A uniform wording should have been used, also in the dependent claims.
- The features of the claims have not been provided with reference signs placed in 2. parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art 3. disclosed in the documents cited in the search report is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.